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a. flooring and paving

We have discussed above various forms of earth flooring, which might contain cupola ashes, iron filings, ox blood, and milk or fat, but which, according to the proportion of lime or cement, gradually approached the character of true concrete. Similarly, Major Mitchell noted that a very hard mortar for use in pointing might be made by adding coal ashes and iron filings, or the sweepings from a forge. In Britain more sophisticated products based upon the newly available Portland cement were used to make flagstones, and were referred to as 'artificial stone', though they were not capable of being used in the more ornamental forms later associated with that term. One which was available in Britain by the mid-century was 'Buckwell's Granetic [sic] Breccia Stone', reportedly made of natural stone chippings and Portland cement, formed into slabs under hydraulic pressure. This could be used for paving, water tanks, stair treads and other purposes, and it was made by William Buckwell at the Phoenix Stone Works, East Greenwich.

'Victoria stone' was another material used to make precast flagstones. According to an English description it was made from four parts of washed and finely powdered granite and one of Portland cement, allowed three days to set, and then immersed for seven to eight weeks in a sodium silicate solution. In 1854 it was advertised in Melbourne as follows:

VICTORIA ADAMANTINE STONE COMPANY

---

3 Argus, 13 June 1854.
It is alleged that the stone manufactured by this company is superior to the finest Yorkshire stone, inasmuch as being void of stratification it will not wear into holes. Slabs can also be made from one to ten or fifteen feet [0.3 to 3 or 4.5 m] square, of any thickness. It is also admirably adapted for stables, halls, sewerage and pavement gutters.

The company hoped to be commissioned to pave the city streets, and had already laid a section of paving in Elizabeth Street. The material was shown at the Melbourne Exhibition of 1854, and was also claimed to be suitable for large building blocks, but it does not appear to have achieved any success.

In 1859 a patent application was made in Victoria for a paving and flooring material which was again a form of concrete: 'road metal or broken stones covered with Portland cement and sand, ultimately washed over with a solution if alum'. This resulted in an indignant protest from Joseph Sullivan, who pointed out that several people including himself had been making these 'artificial stone' floors for some years, one example being the Lennox Street brewery which was then nearing completion. Furthermore, according to Sullivan, Waugh had put down one of his floors in the Houses of Parliament, Melbourne, and it had been a decided failure. Waugh and Hyslop's patent was nevertheless granted, which suggests that their practice was significantly different.

Concrete was fairly readily accepted for flooring in Australia. In Darwin the lack of termite-resistant timbers prompted J. G. Knight to use Portland cement concrete for the floor of the Government Residence in the reconstruction of 1874, and to recommend the material for the foundations of government works generally. In Sydney concrete was used in 1870 by the City Engineer, Edward Bell, for some of the peripheral rooms at the exhibition building in Albert Park, and in 1871 for the main market area of the Council's Woolloomooloo Fish Markets. It was especially favoured as the basis for laying tessellated tiles, and St Mary's Cathedral Sydney had a concrete floor of which the northern end was poured in about 1880. Henceforward there were still only occasional concrete walls, or complete buildings, but concrete foundations and fireproof floors became fairly standard.

---

4 Official Catalogue of the Melbourne Exhibition, 1854 (Melbourne 1854), p 17. Patrick Hayes of Sandridge (Port Melbourne) was named as the manufacturer.
5 No 221 to Michael Waugh & Andrew Hyslop, 16 May 1859; also reported in the Australian Builder, 21 May 1859, p 159.
6 Australian Builder, 28 May 1859, p 164.
7 Information from Julie Martin, 2004.
**b. artificial stone**

'Artificial stone' was a term commonly used for materials such as the Victoria Adamantine Stone and the paving discussed above, but especially for materials which could be cast into ornamental forms, and which were usually, but not always, cement-based. The phrase had originally been used in Britain to refer to forms of terra cotta, but by the mid-nineteenth century it was generally understood to be a hard and non-absorbent mixture of a hydraulic cement and sand cast in moulds, or else a patent compound of silicates. An early variety, given a reward by the Society of Arts in 1813, was Wilson's composition for artificial stone chimneypieces, the constituents of which are not known. In the following year Felix Austin of New Road, London, perfected the use of Roman cement in an artificial stone used for architectural ornaments, but in 1819 he changed over to Atkinson's (Yorkshire, or Mulgrave) cement, and then by 1830 was using Portland cement. Austin's products were substantially promoted in Loudon's *Architectural Magazine* in the 1830s. Austin was succeeded in the business by J Seeley, under the style of Austin & Seeley, and by the 1840s the firm were advertising ornaments in artificial stone 'of their own peculiar Composition, without either the use of Roman Cement or the application of Heat.' In 1851 John Seeley exhibited a very large fountain (or presumably illustrations of it) of artificial stone, designed by J W Papeorth, which had apparently been in existence for twenty years. All this is slightly confusing because a John Sealy [sic] had been associated with Eleanor Coade junior in her manufacture of artificial stone, in this case not a cement-based material but a form of moulded terra cotta, which has been discussed above.

At this point the imprecision of the terminology becomes a more general problem. William Ranger, a builder in Brighton, England, was - as will appear below - a pioneer of both concrete blocks and monolithic construction, and he called his product 'artificial stone', though it can have been little more than

---

14 *Builder*, I, 1 (31 December 1842), pp 12-13: this appears to be the same firm and the address is still in New Road. In 1853 chimney pots were still being advertised by J Seeley of Austin & Seeley's Stone Works, Keppel Row, New Road: *Builder*, XI, 539 (4 June 1853), p 366.
16 This original John Sealy had died in 1813, but it is possible that the Sealy's and Seeleys were in fact the same family. The name certainly caused confusion, for Robert Robson, for example, refers to Coade's partnership with Seeley, at Belvedere Road, Lambeth; Robert Robson, *The Mason's, Bricklayer's, Plasterer's and Decorator’s Practical Guide* (London, no date [c 1850]), p 15.
common concrete. In France Manpar used the same term in 1826 to describe a composition invented by Dihl, which was plastered over brick basins and orange tree boxes. It was made of porcelain or salt glaze potsherds ground fine and mixed with boiled linseed oil. When set it resembled polished white marble, and it would ring like a bell if struck with a trowel.\(^\text{17}\) The Frenchman N E Pelouze, writing in 1829, reported that Vauban had found that the columns of Ste Madeleine, Vézelay, were of artificial stone \([\text{pierre factice}]\).\(^\text{18}\) Pelouze himself regarded the best material for the purpose as being the 'Roman cement' marketed in England by Parker.\(^\text{19}\) A later French type, known as Sorel stone, was made by calcining magnesite and mixing it with sand or powdered marble, wetting it with a waste liquid from saltworks, which contained magnesium chloride, and stamping it into moulds.\(^\text{20}\) Yet another artificial stone invented on the Continent was Furse's mineral cement. It was used in drainage, fortification works, and as mortar, but it could also be cast into slabs for use as flooring. It was dark-coloured, non-absorbent, durable and very hard.\(^\text{21}\) It was in fact rather comparable with the 'Victoria Stone' discussed above.

In Victoria the substantial use of cast or pressed balusters and ornaments began in the 1850s. One of the most interesting examples is ‘Bontharambo’ homestead near Wangaratta, designed by Thomas Watts in 1858. Greg Owen, the contractor responsible for restoration work in 2007, has found elements containing sodium silicate, probably Ransome’s artificial stone as discussed below, and a number of castings of interest. Each baluster is reinforced with a single wooden lath, no doubt weaker than the iron rods commonly used at later dates, but not liable to the rust which can cause iron to expand and fracture the casting. The pedestals of the balustrade are made up of wedge-shaped sections fixed together, each containing one of the side faces, on each of which is an applied festoon of a chocolate colour, presumably meant to be exposed. Whether these were cast on site or imported is not clear.\(^\text{22}\)

In 1859 cast cement elements were used in the South Australian Institute Building in Adelaide.\(^\text{23}\) In 1864 the Corinthian capitals, urns and modillions for the Launceston Town Hall were cast in Portland cement. They were first modelled in very fine pipeclay from Cataract Hill, then a plaster cast made and used to create the cement versions. It was reported optimistically that the material would be denser and more undestructible than freestone, 'as the


\[^\text{19}\] Pelouze, *Pierre Factice*, pp 11-12, 16.


\[^\text{22}\] Greg Owen verbally, 2007.

\[^\text{23}\] Information from Bruce Harry, 1991.
elements which work such certain decay in every species of freestone, seem to have no effect on the manufactured Portland cement'.

The use of Sullivan's 'artificial stone' in Melbourne for both stucco and flooring has been mentioned already, but in 1859 Joseph Sullivan won a prize offered by the Melbourne City Council for the design of a fountain, and he proposed that it should be built of his 'Imperial Stone', which 'from its lasting and non-vegetative properties' was claimed to be very suitable, and less than half the cost of real stone. Later in the year he informed the gentry, architects, surveyors, artists &c that they could be supplied with statues, fountains, vases and architectural enrichments made of his...

... Improved Artificial Stone, which surpasses in durability and appearance any yet produced; it is of a light tint and requires no painting or colouring, and is quite equal to the finest Portland; exposure to the atmosphere gives to the composition the hardness of Granite, improves the color, and renders it quite impervious to damp; nor is it affected by the most severe frost or heat; it is unlike the spurious article that is imposed on the public under the name of Artificial Stone.

The construction of Sullivan's Dolphin Fountain in the Carlton Gardens is illustrated in a contemporary photograph.

The most widely advertised and possibly the best known artificial stone of the period was not based on cement at all. It was patented in England in 1844 by Frederick Ransome, the inventor of the equally well-known silicate indurating process. It was made by cementing broken or pulverised stone with a solution of silica in caustic alkali - that is, water glass - and moulding it under pressure to produce a dense compound suitable even for grinding. It had a crushing strength of about 64 to 73 MPa, which was 800% stronger than Caen stone and nearly 25% greater than Darley Dale stone, one of Britain's toughest freestones. As 'artificial silica stone' in the forms of urns and a balustrade it won a gold medal for Ransome & Parsons at the Great Exhibition of 1851.

In 1853 or 1854 the recently arrived architect Charles Maplestone wrote to Frederick Ransome, seeking to be appointed as the local agent for his artificial stone, but was given the brush-off, as a result of which, Maplestone claimed, 'several good opportunities for introducing his stone are lost'. Ransome's company did, however, ship out fifty-three chimneypieces to...
another agent, through whom they were offered to Maplestone, but he could not afford to buy them. The agents in question were probably the importers F B Franklyn & Co, who at the Melbourne Exhibition of 1854 showed ‘Specimens of Patent Siliceous Stone Manufactures comprising bust of Sir Robert Peel, Ornamental mantel-pieces, imitation marble tiles, and Urn stand.’ In about 1866 the prominent London architect J D Seddion designed a fountain intended to be made in Ransome’s Patent Stone and sent to Australia, but if it arrived it has not so far been identified.

The first local patent application for a siliceous stone was made in 1861 by the architect James Robertson, but it was refused, and a year later Ransome himself obtained a Victorian patent. Not long afterwards another patent was granted to A C L De Lacy for the use of ‘certain chemical fluids and operations’ to produce, inter alia, an artificial stone, but whether this was an extension of Ransome’s product or a rival one is not apparent. An artificial stone patented in New South Wales by Nicolle and Mort of Sydney—presumably before 1877, as the patent had expired by 1891—was made by mixing sixty parts of clean sand, eight of aluminium silicate; four of lime, and one of hydraulic lime. By now, however, the term ‘artificial stone’ was dying out, and most ornamental castings were based upon Portland cement, as will appear below.

c. mass concrete

The idea of mass concrete as a wall material probably emerged out of pisé de terre in Roman times. Certainly the tapia of Spain in medieval and Renaissance times varies from true pisé to low-grade concrete, and this has in turn given rise to the tabby of the United States. The same idea gave rise to William Atkinson’s proposal for concrete walling in 1805: ‘I have often thought of constructing walls according to the method followed at Pisa, by using clean gravel and quicklime, instead of common earth from the fields.’ It should be made with aggregate in a range of sizes from sand up to the size of a walnut, mixed with slaked lime diluted to the consistency of limewash, and rammed into the formwork in the same way as pisé. He thought that this would cost little more than pisé and, having experimented using a small mould,
he was confident that the material could be used not only for walls but for mouldings and ornaments.\footnote{William Atkinson, \textit{Views of Picturesque Cottages with Plans, &c} (London 1805), pp 17-18.}

But this is not the source of the modern concrete tradition, for the first significant use of mass concrete in Britain was for foundations rather than walls, beginning with the Millbank Penitentiary, discussed below. In 1834 a correspondent of the \textit{Architectural Magazine} asked whether concrete had ever been used for walls, and again suggested that this should be done using ‘a system of frames and moulds’ as for pisé.\footnote{Architectural Magazine, October 1834, p 320.} By now, however, William Ranger of Brighton (England) had developed a method of building in concrete, and used it in 1832 in a wall for Lawrence Peel of Kemp Town. He used the lime of the nearby Downs in his mixture, moulded into blocks with ‘all the nobleness of stone’ in appearance, and he could also cast it as a solid mass \textit{in situ}.\footnote{Mechanic’s Magazine, XVIII, 484 (17 November 1832), p 112, quoting the Brighton Gazette.} Ranger applied for a patent for it, as 'Ranger's Artificial Stone'.\footnote{Mechanic’s Magazine, XVIII, 498 (29 December 1832), p 224.} Then the first all-concrete house seems to have been that built in 1835 by the cement manufacturer J B White at Swanscombe, Kent. All decorative and other details, including even the window frames, were of cement concrete.\footnote{F L Olmsted, \textit{A Journey through Texas} (1857), quoted in Paul Goeldner, \textit{Texas Catalog: Historic American Buildings Survey} (San Antonio [Texas] 1974), p 8.}

In the United States, as elsewhere, much early concrete was crude, and pisé-derived. F L Olmsted in 1857 described his travels in Texas, where the town of Seguib had a number of concrete buildings with ‘thick walls of gravel and lime, raised a foot at a time, between boards which hold the mass in place until it is solidified.’\footnote{A C Davis, \textit{A Hundred Years of Portland Cement} (London 1924), p 64; C J Stanley, \textit{Highlights in the History of Concrete} (Slough [Buckinghamshire] 1980 [1979]), p 17.} Joseph Goodrich’s 'Milton House’ at Milton, Wisconsin, of 1844, was designed to be proof against incendiaryism by the Indians, and used Portland cement imported from England.\footnote{F A Randall, \textit{History of the Development of Building Construction in Chicago} (Urbana [Illinois] 1949), p 16.} In Australia mass concrete construction received a boost from the American phrenologist Orson S Fowler, who in 1854 published a book, \textit{Homes for All},\footnote{O S Fowler, \textit{A Home for All, or the gravel Wall and Octagon Mode of Building} (New York 1854). For the influence of the octagon plan in general see R L McCarley, ‘Orson S. Fowler and a Home for All: the Octagon House in the Midwest’, \textit{Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture}, 12 (2005), pp 49-63, and for themany octagonal and other polygonal buildings in Canada see J I Rempel, \textit{Building with Wood and other aspects of Nineteenth-Century uilding in Central Canada} (revised edition, University of Toronto, Toronto 1981) pp 289-340.,} in which he argued for houses of ‘gravel concrete’ on an octagonal plan (which he saw as being phrenologically correct).

Occasionally by the 1840s complete concrete houses were built in Australia, but (to judge from the oldest surviving ones of the 1850s) they would have
been crude structures with thick walls, and the concrete more like a rubble bound in inferior lime, or a form of pisé, than anything we would recognise as concrete today. The situation was comparable in India, where the foundations for the walls of the Allahabad Gaol were of ‘concrete rammed in layers’. In 1851 Charles Mayes saw concrete houses at Norwood near Adelaide, and in 1860 believed them to be still standing. In 1852 Charles Pitt and his family built a concrete house in Adelaide, on the south bank of the Torrens near the site of the Felixstowe Bridge. It was made of river sand, gravel, rocks, and lime from Abbot’s kiln, nearby at Payneham. The architect C McCarthy designed the first church at Campbelltown, South Australia, of concrete with brick quoins, as well as concrete walls for the cellar of the East Torrens Winemaking and Distillation Company, both in late 1858. At least one house was built in South Australia before 1860 of concrete on Edmund Coignet’s system as discussed below. In Melbourne there survives a concrete house of the 1850s, at 23 Woolley St, Essendon, and another, probably of the 1860s or 1870s, at 10 Middle Crescent, Brighton. Another concrete building which survives in particularly good condition is ‘Craiglee’ at Sunbury in Victoria, built by J S Johnson in 1865. Here, however, chemical analysis has suggested that the concrete was made with Roman or natural cement, rather than common lime.

We have no clear information on the construction of the earlier concrete buildings in Australia, but in 1873 the Town and Country Journal gave detailed instructions. A plank floor was to be prepared for mixing on, preferably with a roof over. Four barrels of broken stone, in pieces from the size of a walnut to that of an egg, were to be spread out to a depth of about 50 mm, then three barrels of sand or sharp grit sprinkled between and over the stones; then half a barrel of lime; and finally half a barrel of ‘best cement’. The materials were to be raked together, then saturated with water long enough for the lime and cement ‘to slacken thoroughly’ – which betrays a misunderstanding of the properties of cement. The mixture was to be placed between planks and raised only a foot [300 mm], then allowed to stand a week before the next layer was placed – much as in cob construction. Window and door frames could be built in as the work proceeded. The wall could be made ‘hollow’ by suspending wooden blocks into it from the top of the formwork, leaving continuous tubes a the work rose.

---

49 ‘Albert George William Pitt’, anonymous undated typescript kindly supplied by Don Langmead of Adelaide.
51 Alan Gross, History of the Shire of Bulla (Melbourne 1962), p 24. A concrete house at the corner of New St and North Rd, Brighton [Victoria], was advertised for sale in 1876: Argus, 1 February 1876, p 2.
52 Town and Country Journal, 10 May 1873, p 588.
Later in the nineteenth century concrete was if anything less favoured for rural buildings, but it persisted in remote areas. At Koonya, Tasmania, the architect Alexander North built in the 1880s a small ‘rubble and concrete’ house,\textsuperscript{53} predecessor of the reinforced concrete structures with which the architect would later experiment. In rural New South Wales Morris Simpson, who had been impressed by the concrete breakwater at Aberdeen, built a concrete house with 300 mm thick walls at the property ‘Stonehenge’, which he acquired in 1886.\textsuperscript{54} In 1888 the Townsville architects Tunbridge & Tunbridge reported that they had prepared plans and specifications for large concrete stores and offices in Darwin.\textsuperscript{55} These were for the Townsville-based merchants Aplin Brown & Co, but it is unclear whether they were actually built. In Townsville itself a concrete house was built in German Gardens (later renamed Belgian Gardens) in about 1903, following a cyclone, but the details of the construction are not known.\textsuperscript{56} At Broome, Western Australia, the customs house of 1889 appears to be of formed concrete (of unknown ingredients) and the old police lock-up of 1894 is of concrete and iron.\textsuperscript{57} During the gold boom in Western Australia the government experimented with a form of concrete made with the \textit{pindan} or rich red soil of the north-west. It provided the fines in a mix with cement, gravel and coarse sand, and produced a durable building material of a rich earthy colour. This was used for shuttered concrete walls at Broome and for blocks at Roebourne and Cossack.\textsuperscript{58}

Portland cement concrete was now a generally viable option, and was listed, along with lime concrete and 'coke concrete for arches' in Mayes's price book for 1883.\textsuperscript{59} Mass concrete was used also in increasingly major engineering works, culminating in the Beetaloo Dam in South Australia: when completed in 1888 this was the largest concrete dam in the southern hemisphere.\textsuperscript{60} The major use of cement, however, remained cosmetic rather than structural, for stucco rather than structure. Local limes began to be used to a considerable degree for mass concrete in engineering works, but the introduction of cement for such purposes had generally to wait upon local manufacture being initiated.

\textsuperscript{53} Peter McFie, ‘The Retreat of Alexander North’ (brief chapter from an unidentified publication, supplied by John Maidment, 2003), p 16.
\textsuperscript{54} G N Griffiths, \textit{Some Northern Homes of N.S.W.} (Sydney 1954), p 119.
\textsuperscript{55} W F Morrison, \textit{The Aldine History of Queensland} (2 vols, Sydney 1888), II, unpaginated appendix, sv Townsville.
\textsuperscript{56} Information from Peter Bell, 1991.
\textsuperscript{57} Information from Robin Campbell and Ingrid van Bremen.
\textsuperscript{58} Ingrid van Bremen, ‘The New Architecture of the Gold Boom’, (PhD, University of Western Australia 1990), p 151.
\textsuperscript{60} [Diane Kell], ‘Concrete in Australia’, special issue of \textit{Constructional Review}, L, 4 (November 1977), p 15.
It is necessary to appreciate the distinction, imprecise though it may be, between concrete and the French béton. Béton was said to be always made with hydraulic lime (or later cement), but concrete not necessarily so, and French practice was to make a cement slurry and then add the aggregate, whilst British was to add the water last.\(^{61}\) British concrete was sometimes not much more than stabilised earth, whilst French béton more closely approached the engineering properties of what we call concrete today. Even so, Claudel and Laroque illustrate it being laid in masses with sloping ends, much as in some forms of pisé.\(^{62}\) Thus in Britain the *Mechanic's Magazine* did not insist upon hydraulic lime or cement for béton, but said, on the contrary:

The French béton is nearly identical with the English concrete, the main difference being the manipulation; thus béton is composed of lime, sand, and small pebbles, or broken stone, *taken separately*, and successively mixed together, the pebbles being added last; while concrete is usually formed of lime, mixed directly with gravel, *containing naturally* about the due proportion of pebbles and sand; proper quantities of water being used, and the factitious stone resulting, in both cases, being in effect the same.\(^{63}\)

Edmund Coignet had experimented with a concrete using coal cinders and lime as early as 1850, but it proved unsatisfactory, and he replaced the cinder with sand, moistening the mixture.\(^{64}\) Coignet took out a French patent in 1859 for a béton in which lime, sand and water were mixed into a grout and poured over gravel.\(^{65}\) Leonard Beckwith, one of the United States commissioners for the Paris Exposition of 1867, published a useful account of Coignet's material. First he defined common béton, which was a mixture of sand, pebbles, broken stones, common lime and water, except that hydraulic lime was used where it was for marine purposes. Béton-coignet, in contrast, was an 'artificial stone', and consisted of sand, lime and water - that is, no coarse aggregate. These were the same elements as in common mortar, but the proportions and the method of making it produced a different result. Less lime was used, and only enough water 'for quick assimilation with the lime', so that the mixture was barely moist. It could be handled with shovels, and transported in carts and wheelbarrows, like sand. The material was commonly mixed by an elaborate machine, which will be discussed below, and when placed it was best compacted slightly with 'light hand pestles'.


\(^{63}\) *Mechanic's Magazine*, XXXVII, 1036 (17 June 1843), p 495.


Béton Coignet had been used for a number of works in and near Paris - as mass concrete in the railway station at Suresnes, houses at St-Denis, and a church at Vérité; in the form of arched structures on the northern railway line, in the new prison of Madelonottes, the Barracks of Notre-Dame, supporting the machinery of a sawmill at Aubervilliers, and in underground ventilation tunnels to the Exhibition building at the Champ-de-Mars; also for a lengthy embankment on the Avenue de l'Empereur and for forty kilometres of main sewers in Paris. By 1872 Coignet's company had built a number of concrete aqueducts for the water supply of the water supply of Paris from the Vanne, a distance of more than 150 km, two of the major sections being across the valley of the Fontainebleau between the rivers Loing and Essones. Coignet's material was also used for substantial lengths of tunnel.

Coignet's concrete must have been well known even before he took out a patent, for a brief description was published in the *South Australian Register* in 1857. This béton aggloméré was still regarded around 1870 as a novel material, its main advantage being economy, as only one part of hydraulic lime was used for eight parts of sand, though one part of Roman or Portland cement might be added as well. Again, much less water was used than in English concrete. The sand, and lime (and cement, if any) were mixed dry, then moistened slightly, put into a pugmill and ground to a paste, then pulverised in a stamping mill before being finally placed. Modern understanding of these materials suggests that the procedure was almost wholly misguided, particularly in relation to any cement which might be added. Nonetheless, Coignet's method was highly regarded, and a number of structures were built by it for the Paris Exposition of 1870. It was known to anglophones almost from the outset, and an account of a railway station built by Coignet's method was published in England in both the *Builder* and the *Engineer* during 1859.

Our first detailed description of its use in Australia is of a house extension built in Adelaide by the engineer B H Babbage, son of the famous English mathematician Herschel Babbage. It measured 6.4 by 2.7 metres, and was built against the cob (mud) wall of the existing building, which was faced with a thin layer of concrete, and an existing cob garden wall which was incorporated. Thus two new walls were built, and these were 0.45 metres thick. The foundations were dug out to this width and the same depth, and filled with a concrete made of one part of North Adelaide lime to seven parts of sand and gravel from a nearby creek. Above this was placed wooden formwork of the sort already regularly used in Adelaide for pisé de terre construction, and concrete was thrown into this and rammed down in 0.3

---

67 Maw & Dredge, *Road and Railway Bridges*, p 38, pls xxiii,xxiv.
68 B H Babbage mentions this paper of June 1857 in the *Farm and Gardener*, 12 July 1860, p 2.
70 Potter, *Concrete*, p 9. Probably the railway station at Suresnes.
71 For Babbage, see D A Cumming & G C Moxham, *They Built South Australia: Engineers, Technicians, Manufacturers, Contractors and their Work* (Adelaide 1986), p 19.
metre layers. The concrete for the walls was mixed on Coignet's recipe in the proportions of seven parts of sand and gravel to three of common earth and one of unslaked lime, together with enough water to slake the lime and produce the consistency of a paste. The walls of Babbage's building were only a little over two metres high, but there was a solid concrete roof which arched up higher at the centre, the recipe for this being the same as for the foundations.  

In 1863 Babbage gave a lecture on the subject, and made recommendations partly based upon his own experiments. In the 1870s he constructed a more elaborate two storey house entirely of concrete, walls, staircases and roof, and said that he had never heard of such a structure being built before. For reasons of economy he used unashed gravel, from creek beds and from a pit, and (apparently) common lime. The external walls were 550 mm thick and the internal ones 450 mm. The dining room was spanned by a 4.5 metre barrel vault rising 345 mm, and a layer of Portland cement concrete was placed on top to create a flat roof terrace. Babbage speculated as to whether Portland cement would perform better as the main structural material, but seemed doubtful, quite apart from the vastly greater cost. He plastered the walls with a mixture of sand, unslaked lime and sugar – effectively a form of chunam – according to recipe which had been obtained in Algiers by the former Lieutenant-Governor, F H Robe, though the proportions didn’t work and Babbage had to adjust them experimentally. This house, known as ‘The Rosary’, was in Daws Road and had completely disintegrated by 1936.

**e. foundations and engineering works**

Concrete was probably much more widely used in foundations than for complete concrete buildings, as was the case at Sir Robert Smirke’s Millbank Penitentiary of 1817. ‘Foundation’, rather than footing, is an appropriate way to describe what was really a mass of rubble mixed with lime, or in some cases hydraulic lime or cement) upon which a wall was built, as opposed to a modern reinforced concrete footing, which is a self-contained structural unit forming a part of the wall itself. By 1846 Loudon could report that concrete foundations were 'now very general'. A trench was dug about eight inches [200 mm] wider than the lower course of brickwork which was to rest upon the foundation, and deep enough to reach firm soil. About 100 mm of coarse and

---

72 Builder, XVIII, 922 (6 October 1860), p 638. See also Farm and Garden, 12 July 1860, p 2.
73 Farm and Gardener, 14 March 1863, p 2.
75 Jensen, Colonial Architecture in South Australia, p 175. St Martin's Church of England at Campbelltown, built in 1859-60, is said to have been of concrete with brick quoins, though an illustration seems to show complete masonry walls. A concrete-walled cellar is also supposed to have been built for the East Torrens Winemaking & Distilling Company.
fine gravel were thrown in, then they were grouted with thin hot lime and rammed hard. Course after course was laid in the same manner until the required depth was reached.\textsuperscript{77} Even in South Africa concrete was used for both the foundations and the ground floor surface of a military blockhouse built at Durban in 1846.\textsuperscript{78}

In Melbourne the gasworks was built in 1855 on the fringes of Batman’s Swamp. And the retort house was set on a base of concrete and redgum to a depth of 2.4 metres below ground level.\textsuperscript{79} In Adelaide the Post Office was built in 1866 with concrete foundations, but they were criticised. It appears that water was put into the trenches, then the dry ingredients added. A critic wrote that the ‘force of the water’ would wash out a large proportion of the lime which was slaked in the mixing process, whereas if it were done properly only half the amount of lime would be needed.\textsuperscript{80} A Roman Catholic schoolroom in Daly Street, Port Adelaide, completed in 1869 to the design of Wright, Woods & Hamilton, had concrete three feet [0.9 metres] thick, ‘Swan River and Jarrah timber being used where required’.\textsuperscript{81} This seems extraordinarily substantial for a building only 4.8 metres high. and deliberately built with hollow walls to keep it light, and was a response to the poor bearing capacity of the soil.

Examples in New South Wales included the foundations of Farmer & Co’s Victoria House, Sydney, in 1873, and of the Lewis Brothers Flour Mill at Tamworth in 1873. The former, designed by the architect Horbury Hunt, were described as ‘strata of cement concrete, varying from 18 inches to 3 feet [450–900 mm] (known as béton to the engineers) [which] cover the whole area of the main trenches’,\textsuperscript{82} and the latter, by Hilly & Sapsford, were 1.2 metres deep.\textsuperscript{83} J H Pender used concrete for the foundations of the Wallsend School of Arts in 1878, the largest measuring three feet by 2 ft 6 in [900 x 750 mm].\textsuperscript{84} It is of some interest to consider the specification for a private house built in Melbourne in 1885, which required:

The concrete to be composed of one part fresh lime, one part sand, one part gravel or screenings, and four parts bluestone metal of 2” [50 mm] gauge, the whole to be mixed + tempered together until the lime is slacked. to be turned as required. Then wheeled + thrown into the trenches while hot and rammed in 6” [150 mm] layers + grouted, and not

\textsuperscript{77} J C Loudon, Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm and Villa Architecture (London 1846 [1833]), § 2433, p 1245.
\textsuperscript{78} Brian Kearney, Architecture in Natal (Cape Town 1973), p 17.
\textsuperscript{79} Ray Proudley, Circle of Influence: a History of the Gas Industry in Victoria (North Melbourne 1987), p 32, referring to reports of the company meeting in the Argus.
\textsuperscript{80} South Australian Register, 15 & 19 September 1866, quoted Jensen, Colonial Architecture in South Australia, p 328.
\textsuperscript{81} Southern Cross and Catholic Herald, 25 October 1869, p 384.
\textsuperscript{82} H M Franklyn, A Glance at Australia in 1880 (Melbourne 1881), p 347.
\textsuperscript{83} Australasian Town and Country Journal, 13 September 1873, p 689, quoted Dockrill, loc cit.
\textsuperscript{84} J W Pender et al, The Pender Collection (Collection of architectural drawings by J W Pender and his successors, Maitland, NSW [at Maitland when inspected in 1999, but due for removal to Newcastle University]).
afterwards disturbed, the top surface to be levelled off to receive the
Brickwork.

The foundation trenches were again 450 mm deep, but a little wider than the
walls above.  Although this concrete was placed hot, there is no evidence in
Australia of the use of hot water, as was sometimes done in Britain with a
view to retarding the set.  Although concrete foundations were commonly made with lime, as all
hydraulic cements had (almost always) to be imported, there were exceptions
where the work required special engineering properties. A major instance of
this was the Alfred Graving Dock, built in 1868 to 1872 at Williamstown,
Victoria, where a bed of 600 mm of concrete was placed below a 1.5 metre
thickness of stone flooring. As it was described in 1873:

    every bit of cement had to be hydraulic; it had to be in the proportion of
    one of cement to one of sand, measured like gold. Every barrel was
tested; one bad barrel would have burst the dock. Secondly, it required
to set very quickly on account of the pressure of water, and of course to
make that water-tight was costly.

However a correspondent of Castener's Rural Australian in 1877
recommended Portland cement as the norm for foundations, using one part
to one of sand and five of a coarse aggregate such as granite spalls, blue
metal or broken crockery. These ingredients were to be mixed dry, then
wetted, placed and tamped or rammed.

The Alfred Graving Dock had been one of the first uses of concrete for strictly
engineering purposes, but others soon followed, and in 1883-7 the Green
Cape Lighthouse, the largest concrete structure in Australia, was constructed
to the design of James Barnet.  Late in 1887 Barnet let the contract for the
telegraph office and signalmen's quarters adjoining Gabo Island Lighthouse,
in which the external and internal walls, as well as the surrounding garden
wall, were all of mass concrete.

f. New Zealand
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85  J W Leonard, 'Specification for the Erection of a dwelling House at Kew for Mr. W.M.
     Bale in accordance with Plans and Specifications and detail drawings +' (1885), pp 3-4.
87  Evidence of A G Todd, 11 June 1873, in Victoria, Public Works Department. Report of
     Royal Commission (Melbourne 1873), p 63.
88  Castener’s Rural Australian, September 1877, p 16.
89  Australasian Builder & Contractor's News, 5 January 1889, p 17. Illustrated in John
     Ibbotson, Lighthouses of Australia: images from the end of an era (Australian
90  Ivar Nelson, Patrick Miller & Terry Sawyer, Conservation Plan. Gabo Island Lighthouse.
In New Zealand there seems to have been far more use of concrete than in Australia. Geoffrey Thornton reports a cottage described in the *Lyttelton Times* in 1852 as being nearly complete. It was made from small gravel, sand and quicklime, in the ratio of 4:3:1. Concrete was used for the piers and abutments of the Waiwakaiho River bridge at New Plymouth in 1859, and it thought that the oldest complete building may date from 1861. 'Invermay' near Mosgiel is a very substantial two storey concrete house said to date from 1862. The first really large concrete building was the Sunnyside Lunatic Asylum, Canterbury, by Benjamin Mountfort in 1871, and it is especially significant because it is clearly stated to have used Portland cement. Thornton identifies many examples in the following years, and in Auckland 'Clifton House' was extended with a concrete tower in 1872-3. The powder magazine at Magazine Bay, Lyttelton Harbour, was built in 1874 with concrete walls and a brick vaulted arch. The most extraordinary concrete building is 'Goldie's Brae', commonly known as the 'Banana House' at Wadestown near Wellington, finished in 1876 and consisting of ten rooms built in a segment of a circle, with an attached conservatory running around the inner side of the curve. Brett's *Colonist's Guide* of 1883 recommended as a normal thing that, in a neighbourhood where rubble, sand, scoria ash or shingle was available, the material should be used with Mahurangi lime to make concrete for building barn walls.

There are many other examples from the 1870s onwards, which have been summarised by Thornton, including other concrete houses such as Judge Chapman's 'Woodside', Dunedin, built in 1876 to the design of F W Petre. Petre was sometimes known as 'Lord Concrete', and his most important concrete building was St Dominic's Priory, Dunedin, opened in 1877. In 1877 J C Wason planned a whole village for his estate at Barhill in Canterbury, using concrete for the village centre buildings, of which St John's Church of England, school and teacher's house are still standing. Enormous quantities of concrete were used by the architect S C Farr in a

92 G G Thornton, 'Early Concrete Structures in New Zealand', *Fourth National Conference on Engineering Heritage* 1988, Sydney 5-8 December 1988, Preprint of Papers (Barton (ACT) 1988), p 86. Thornton, *Cast in Concrete*, p 28, reports that the musterers' quarters at Lake Cole ridge station, Canterbury, is said to have been built in 1861 and was certainly extant in the 1870s.
rebuilding program at 'Glenmark' station, North Canterbury, begun in 1875, and huge concrete stables built in 1881 survive there. The Firth Tower at Matamata is a concrete blockhouse built for defensive purposes in 1881-2 by J C Firth, a local businessman.

**g. patents and inventions**

The latter half of the nineteenth century was a great period of inventions and patents in the colonies, as it was in Britain. Concrete began to be seen not just as a low grade mass material, but one capable of ornamental and structural use. In Victoria a form of concrete breeze block was patented in 1864. One of the first local patents was taken out by Charles Mayes in 1854 for a form of hollow wall construction which could be executed in 'beton pise' (made of hydraulic lime, sand, and gravel or similar aggregate), 'concrete pisé' (of common lime, sand or loam, and gravel, moderately rammed), 'cob pisé' (clay or rich black mould with chopped straw &c, rammed). The sides of the mould were flat sheet iron, and the material was placed on the wall in situ. The cores were of sheet iron, in plan either circular, elliptical, or rectangular with curved corners, and about one third of the width and two thirds the length of the unit, and another method of making hollow concrete blocks mechanically from lime and sand was patented in 1857, and will be discussed below in the context of sand-lime bricks. This seems surprising since the official invention of the hollow cored block, which revolutionised the industry, was stills nearly forty years away.

The recently arrived Brisbane engineer C L Depree put up a concrete building in 1870 next to his own house in Fortitude Valley, so as to demonstrate the potential of the material. In this he appears to have been successful, as he obtained commissions for various projects in concrete. In 1871 he was granted a patent for a system of moveable formwork for concrete construction, and in 1875-7 as resident engineer for the Stanthorpe Railway Extension, he built the first concrete tunnel and culverts in Queensland. It seems likely that he was an agent for either Tall's or Drake's system, and that it was for this that he received the patent. In 1885 Depree built his family house, 'Goldicott', at Toowong, and the Brisbane Courier described it: as being fifteen feet [4.5 m] high, with all the footings and walls of concrete, the external walls nine inches [225 mm] thick and the inner six inches [150 mm]. In 1889 Peter Minehan, a Queensland railway superintendent and
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102 Victorian patent no 702 to Patrick Hayes, 23 March 1864.
103 Victorian patent no 0 (under the old Act) granted to Charles Mayes, June 1854.
104 Victorian Patent no 18 to Walter Malcolm Scott, 11 April 1857.
engineer, patented a method of making concrete pipes, which were then manufactured by Rooney Brothers of Townsville, as his agents.\textsuperscript{107}

In 1887 the architect David Ross spoke of his experience in New Zealand with scoria aggregate in concrete 'blocks'.\textsuperscript{108} In Sydney the Colonial Architect, James Barnet, began to make regular use of concrete, and in the first stage of the General Post Office in George Street, of 1868-74, there were vaulted ceilings and dome vaulting in coke breeze concrete, allegedly for the first time in Australia.\textsuperscript{109} Barnet then used the material in vaults over the arcading and for the observatory dome of the Lands Department building, of 1876-91, constructed by the builder John Young.\textsuperscript{110} Young himself used it for his extraordinary houses at 258-266 Johnston Street, Annandale.\textsuperscript{111} In 1877 Castener's Rural Australian recommended that a mixture of five parts of coke to one of cement and one of sand be used for balcony, corridor and other floors. This may have been inspired by the work of Barnet, or it may mean that the practice was already widespread, though it is difficult to imagine that coke was readily available in many rural areas.\textsuperscript{112} Groined concrete vaulting - unusual in Australia - was used in the New South Wales Electric Light and Power building in Kent Street, Sydney, in 1888, where it was a carried on iron girders, and was intended to dampen the vibrations caused by the machinery.\textsuperscript{113}

\textbf{h. mixers}

In 1857 Louis Cezanne had invented the first mechanical mixer, a cylinder 3.9 m long by 1.2 m in diameter, inclined at an angle between 6° and 8°, and made to rotate at twenty revolutions per minute.\textsuperscript{114} Something very similar was later used in England, at the Birkenhead Docks, and was claimed to be the invention of the resident engineer, Le Mesurier.\textsuperscript{115} In France Coignet devised a special machine called the 'malaxator' for preparing his béton aggloméré. It had a chamber like a pair of cylinders overlapping in parallel, with no division between them. Each contained an archimidean screw turning simultaneously in the same direction, so that they overlapped but did not

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[\textsuperscript{107}] Watson & McKay, Queensland Architects of the 19th Century, pp 126, 156.
\item[\textsuperscript{108}] Australasian Builder & Contractor's News, 6 April 1887, p 204.
\item[\textsuperscript{110}] Illustrated in Miles Lewis, Two Hundred Years of Concrete in Australia (Sydney 1988), pp 2-3.
\item[\textsuperscript{111}] Castener's Rural Australian, September 1877, p 16.
\item[\textsuperscript{112}] Australasian Builder & Contractor's News, 3 November 1888, p 403.
\item[\textsuperscript{114}] Henry Reid, A Practical Treatise on Natural and Artificial Concrete (London 1879), pp 301-3.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
clash. The whole was placed at an angle of about 25° and the raw materials fed through hoppers at the lower end, while the mixed concrete was drawn off at the top. Another machine was the ‘Greyveldinger mortar mill’, a rather simpler device on the same basic principle. It consisted of a cylindrical trough containing a single archimidean screw, the whole again angled at about 25°, with the raw materials fed in at the lower end. By 1868, however, something more akin to the vertical pugmill of the brickmaker was being used for béton coignet, as described by L F Beckwith in 1868. The basis of it was a mixing cylinder, which was essentially a pugmill, consisting of a vertical metal tube within which a vertical spindle revolved, carrying projecting arms in a helical arrangement. Other short arms projected in from the side of the tube, doubtless counteracting the tendency of the mix to move around as a solid spiral. The materials were carried up at an angle by means of a bucket elevator, and discharged through a chute into the top of the cylinder.


These mixers were used only for large engineering works, and less so in Britain than in France. Even in 1894 Thomas Potter stated that it had not yet been found practical to replace manual labour with machines, except in large scale engineering works, for which machines had been devised in Britain. At a more general level mechanical mixers gradually began to supersede hand mixing only from 1902 onwards. Before the Great War one could buy in Sydney not only the Eureka mixer, for which F A Winter held the agency, and another mixer imported by F Lasseter & Co Ltd, but also the purportedly Australian designed ‘Multimix’ mixers, pavers and placing plants sold by Arthur Leplastrier. Later Lightburn & Co of Brisbane emerged as the leading Australian makers of concrete mixers, and in the 1950s were advertising both hand and power operated models in sizes from one to 3½ cubic feet [0.03 - 0.1 m³] in, it as claimed, three types, seven models, and twenty-eight different combinations (of model, motor &c).

In 1914 Mayes illustrated an unidentified small mixer; the Eureka machine, which was commonly worked with a petrol engine; the Chicago continuous batch mixer, which was sold by Elliott Maclean & Co and claimed to be the best American type; a chain belt mixer made by William Adams &
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117 Reid, *Treatise on Concrete*, pp 177-8.
122 See, for example, Lightburn & Co. Limited, *How to do Cement and Concrete Jobs around the Home* (Brisbane, no date [1950s]), advertising pages.
Company;\textsuperscript{126} and the ‘Coltrin Portable continuous self-proportioning mixing machine’ sold by the Australian Metal Company Limited of Sydney.\textsuperscript{127} The Australian Metal Co also sold ‘Milwaukee’ batch concrete mixers;\textsuperscript{128} other mixers, both batch and continuous, were sold by J B Wallis & Co of Sydney;\textsuperscript{129} and Elliott Maclean & Co sold the British ‘Ransome’ batch mixer.\textsuperscript{130}


Ready mixed concrete was first used in Germany in about 1905, and then taken up in the United States and Denmark.\textsuperscript{131} However, early experiments with premixed concrete failed because it frequently began to set while being transported to the site it is said to have been only when the first truck mixer was introduced in the United States in 1926 that the idea became a viable one. The first ready mixed concrete plant in Britain was established at Bedford, Middlesex, in 1930.\textsuperscript{132} In 1939 Ready Mixed Concrete Limited opened its first premix plant on Glebe Island, Sydney, delivering with a truck carrying a rotating horizontal cylinder on a tray which could be tipped, known as a ‘tumble bug’.\textsuperscript{133} By 1954 there were subsidiaries in New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland, South Australia, Western Australia and the ACT.\textsuperscript{134} Another pre-mixed concrete company, Conmix, opened in the Melbourne suburb of Burnley in 1956, using trucks controlled by two-way radio.\textsuperscript{135} Other developments in premixed concrete followed, but they are most relevant to major constructional and engineering projects, and need not be further pursued here.

\textit{i. J T Knox}

One of the more eccentric advocates of concrete was James T Knox (1889-1967) of Leongatha in Victoria. He was a civil engineer who had been employed by the Shire of Bulla and other councils and is claimed, at least according to family tradition, to have designed various important reinforced concrete structures. In 1916 he and his wife acquired South Gippsland Quarries and, when the quarry was threatened by rising water levels, bought

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{126} Mayes, \textit{Australian Builders Price Book} [1914], p 98 & advertisements p 7.
\item \textsuperscript{127} Mayes, \textit{Australian Builders Price Book} [1914], p 98 & advertisements p 9.
\item \textsuperscript{128} Mayes, \textit{Australian Builders Price Book} [1914], p 98 & advertisements p 6.
\item \textsuperscript{129} Mayes, \textit{Australian Builders Price Book} [1914], p 98 & advertisements p 36.
\item \textsuperscript{130} Mayes, \textit{Australian Builders Price Book} [1914], advertisements p 11.
\item \textsuperscript{131} Kinninbrugh, \textit{Dictionary of Building Materials} (London 1966), p 218.
\item \textsuperscript{132} Stanley, \textit{Highlights in the History of Concrete}, pp 34-5.
\item \textsuperscript{133} [Diane Kell] ‘Concrete in Australia’ [special issue of], \textit{Constructional Review}, 4 (November 1977), pp 52-3.
\item \textsuperscript{134} F W Ware & W L Richardson [eds], \textit{Ramsay’s Architectural and Engineering Catalogue} (3rd ed, Melbourne 1954), § 3/2.
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a bluestone deposit at Chalmer's Hill. When rising freight charges began to make the metropolitan market a less profitable one Knox turned to developing his land as a model farm so as to keep his staff employed. In 1922 Knox visited the United States to investigate scientific dairy farming, and he returned with the agency for the American-made Louden Machinery Company of Iowa, makers of farm equipment.\textsuperscript{136}

As a machinery agent Knox had extensive dealings with Gippsland farmers, and as the proprietor of the South Gippsland Quarries he sold concrete products, as well as designing and building concrete structures himself. He advertised free plans and advice for concrete block houses, silos and milk-houses.\textsuperscript{137} In 1926 Knox set about building his own farm structures to Louden designs, out of concrete, and with some alterations to suit local conditions. They comprised two large milking sheds each measuring 30 by 11 metres, a lower building containing pens, machine rooms and stores, measuring 36 by 10 metres, a piggery, and two silos with water tanks on top. The milking sheds are extraordinary in appearance, with strangely peaked gambrel roofs, and the silos are capped with battlements. The farm operated at full bore for only a few years, reducing solely to a dairy operation as a result of the 1929 depression, and ceasing entirely at the onset of World War II.\textsuperscript{138}

\textbf{j. lightweight concrete}

Lightweight concretes are created by the inclusion of air in some form. Lightweight aggregate concrete uses aggregates such as pumice or artificially expanded minerals. No fines concrete omits the fine aggregate, which results in small air-filled voids; and aerated concrete has entrained bubbles of air, creating a cellular structure.

Cinder or coke breeze concrete will be mentioned below for its use in a range of concrete blocks and other precast products, and it will be mentioned again as the material most commonly used to fill in over Traegerwellblech iron arches. An important early example is the reservoir at Centennial Park, Sydney, an underground chamber with a forest of four hundred brick piers supporting shallow concrete cross-vaulting. There was a fenced oval on top, and the reservoir was ventilated through the hollow iron fenceposts. Between October 1896 and January 1897 alternative schemes for the vaulting were prepared in Monier reinforced concrete and in mass concrete, of which the latter got built. The concrete contains coke breeze, doubtless to lighten it. Contemporary with this, but not of Monier concrete, was the flat slab roof designed by Walter Vernon for the laboratory of the Government Plant
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\textsuperscript{136} J Murphy, 'Knox's Rockhill Farm', Leongatha Historical Society, no 4 (1979); and, for Knox's dates, Celestina Sagazio, 'Research into Knox's Rockhill Farm' (mimeographed report for the National Trust of Australia (Victoria), 1986).

\textsuperscript{137} South Gippsland Quarries (broadside, Leongatha [Victoria], no date).

\textsuperscript{138} Murphy, 'Knox's Rockhill Farm', and Sagazio, 'Research into Knox's Rockhill Farm', for the date of the buildings.
Pathologist, discussed below, where ‘gas works cinder’ as used as aggregate.\(^{139}\)

The use of coke breeze in conventional monolithic concrete construction must have been more limited, but in 1918 P G Gilder recommended it for the construction of farm buildings, notwithstanding the fact that very few of these can have been within convenient distance of the sources of coke, which he names as coke ovens, foundries, gasworks and railway locomotive sheds. Gilder recommends the material for small farm buildings, cottages, floors and surface drains, and specifically describes a dairy built of it.\(^{140}\)

Cinder concrete came increasingly into use on the world stage from the time of the Great War onward. It appears that Germany may have pioneered the technology, but have been only indirectly influential in Australia due to the war. In France the architect Le Corbusier began making ‘Briques Aéro-Scorie’ at the factory which he had founded at Alfortville in 1917, using ash aggregate from the neighbouring power station and coal furnaces, until the closure of the works in 1921.\(^{141}\) Some form of coke breeze concrete construction was also developed in Holland in about 1923, and then reached Britain under the name ‘Corolite’.\(^{142}\) In Australia, however, the importance of cinder concrete rather declined, except for a new development, the Cindcrete block, which will be discussed below.

Other lightweight aggregates have yet to be properly documented in the Australian context, but they all derived from overseas experience. Vermiculite had first been exfoliated during World War I, using material mined in Colorado, and was used mainly as loose fill insulation in roofs. However, from about 1940 it began to be used as a concrete aggregate, and other deposits were opened up in South Africa, Australia and the USSR.\(^{143}\) In Australia Vermiculite became better known as an acoustic and insulating material than as a concrete aggregate, and in that context will be discussed below. Expanded shale was also produced in the United States, by crushing the rock and heating it to a high temperature, and was used as an aggregate in concrete ship building during World War I.\(^{144}\) An expanded shale known as ‘Haydite’ was patented in 1919, and used in block making in 1923. A blast furnace slag known as ‘Pollsco’ (later ‘Celocrete’) was introduced in about 1930, and later in the 1930s a slag expanded with steam was introduced under the name of ‘Waylite’.\(^{145}\)


\(^{140}\) P G Gilder, *The Farmer’s Handbook* (Sydney 1918), p 225, kindly drawn to my attention by Deborah Kemp.

\(^{141}\) Bridget Jolly, “Solomit in Australia and its European Context” (PhD submission, University of South Australia, 1998), p 76.

\(^{142}\) G E Emery, ‘General Manager’s Report to the Commissioners [State Savings Bank] on his visit to Great Britain to enquire into Housing, December 1925’ (in David Moloney’s research notes for the Inter-War Housing Project, National Trust, Victoria, cited by Roser, ‘Concrete House in Victoria’, p 19.


In Britain the price of coke breeze rose rapidly during the 1920s, and made other materials relatively more competitive. Pumice was available in some locations, but attempts to expand waste roofing slate proved uneconomical. Expanded clay, on a Danish process, succeeded in establishing itself despite its cost, but foamed slag derived from steelworks was the most successful of these products on the British market.\footnote{K Hajnal-Kőnyi & H Tottenham, 'Concrete', in Eric de Maré [ed], \textit{New Ways of Building} (London 1958 [1948]), p 29; Marian Bowley, \textit{Innovations in Building Materials} (London 1960), pp 210-212.} In 1944 it was being discussed somewhat tentatively, because the cost and success depended upon the steel industry taking it up, and this in turn depended upon there being a large demand for it.\footnote{Great Britain, Ministry of Works, \textit{Demonstration Houses: a Short Account of the Demonstration Houses & Flats erected at Northolt by the Ministry of Works} (HM Stationery Office, London 1944), p 23.} By 1949 there was a Foam Slag Producers Association, with four member companies in England and one in Scotland.\footnote{Oscar Faber & H L Childe, \textit{The Concrete Yearbook 1949} (Concrete Publications, London 1949), pp 539-546.} Perlite, a natural volcanic glass, related to pumice, but dense and nodular, had been known since 1836 to have the capacity to expand, but was not brought into commercial use as aggregate until 1946.\footnote{Kinniburgh, \textit{Dictionary of Building Materials}, p 106.} Expanded clay had been used extensively in the USA, but it was still in the experimental stage in Great Britain, and thought unlikely to be able to compete with bricks.\footnote{F W Ware & W L Richardson [eds], \textit{Ramsay's Architectural and Engineering Catalogue} (Melbourne 1949), § 26/5.} In 1949 D V Isaacs and J W Drysdale were able to report a number of lightweight aggregates and mixtures being investigated in Britain, and concluded that most could be produced or obtained in Australia, but few of them in large quantities and at reasonable cost. They were:

1. Clinker
2. Fly ash
3. Expanded vermiculite
4. Foamed slag
5. Expanded clays, shales and slates
6. Cellular cement mixture, with or without vermiculite
7. 'Ytong', a steam-cured mixture of shale-lime and aluminium powder
8. Diatomaceous earth and cement
9. Sawdust-cement
10. Wood wool
11. 'Durisol', consisting of wood shavings, cement and a proprietary chemical.
12. 'Pyrok', a proprietary mixture of expanded vermiculite, lime and cement.

Imported vermiculite was already being sold in Australia by the Neuchatel Company,\footnote{F W Ware & W L Richardson [eds], \textit{Ramsay's Architectural and Engineering Catalogue} (Melbourne 1949), § 26/5.} and Australian agents had been appointed for Durisol.\footnote{In Britain vermiculite was sold be Vermiculite (London) Ltd
1954 Vermiculite was the only such product to advertise in Ramsay's Catalogue.\textsuperscript{153}

In the 1950s a number of Australian manufacturers appear to have begun producing expanded perlite. The blue shale revealed at the bottom of many Melbourne clay pits had been found to expand satisfactorily, and proved to be an important resource. Expanded clay was also regarded as holding great promise, but was still not in commercial production in this country.\textsuperscript{154} The first local makers of Perlite were Yeomans Pty Ltd of Botany, in 1954, using a rock from Mullumbimby and Brunswick Heads to produce grades from 2 to 7 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{155} At the end of that year it was reported that the CSIRO's Building Research Division at Highett, Melbourne, had developed a lightweight aggregate from heat bloated clay, weighing about a third as much as the usual crushed rock, and that clays and shales suitable for this process were available at Melbourne, Sydney and Brisbane.\textsuperscript{156}

'No fines' concrete enjoyed a vogue after World War II, though it had originated in Holland in the 1920s,\textsuperscript{157} This was a concrete made with a coarse aggregate - $\frac{3}{8}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ inch [10-19 mm]\textsuperscript{158} - and had an open-textured gravelly appearance. Whilst it had little tensile or shear strength, it had the advantages of economising on cement, being about 30% lighter in weight, and - most importantly - having no capillary passages to suck up water.\textsuperscript{159} It was introduced to Britain in 1923,\textsuperscript{160} but it seems to have achieved little acceptance. It was however adopted by the Scottish Special Housing Association, established in 1937.\textsuperscript{161} And in the decade following World War II was used by the major builders, Wimpeys, and as a result was very prominent in the rebuilding of Coventry.\textsuperscript{162} In about 1954 Wimpeys published a survey of their buildings in this material, including some overseas.\textsuperscript{163}

\textsuperscript{152} D V Isaacs & J W Drysdale, \textit{Building Technique and Research} (Sydney 1949), p 38.
\textsuperscript{153} F W Ware & W L Richardson [eds], \textit{Ramsay's Architectural and Engineering Catalogue} (Ramsay Ware Publishing, Melbourne 1954) § 11/8.
\textsuperscript{155} \textit{Cross-Section}, no 22 (1 August 1954), p 2.
\textsuperscript{156} \textit{Cross-Section}, no 26 (1 December 1954), p 2.
\textsuperscript{157} Amongst the earliest known uses were some two storey houses built at Scheveningen in 1921, and these were followed by about fifty no-fines concrete houses built in Edinburgh in 1923. Both groups used clinker aggregate, and those at Edinburgh were constructed by the Coralite Building Co of London: R B White, \textit{Prefabication} (London 1965), p 84, ref R H Macintosh, J D Bolton & C D Muir, 'No-Fines Concrete as a Structural Material', \textit{Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers}, V, part 1, no 6 (November 1956), p 677.
\textsuperscript{159} H E Hope, \textit{The Use of No-Fines Concrete} (duplicated document no 10, Commonwealth Experimental Building Station, Sydney, July 1947).
\textsuperscript{160} Kinniburgh, \textit{Dictionary of Building Materials}, p 175.
\textsuperscript{162} Bowley, \textit{The British Building Industry}, pp 223, 225.
\textsuperscript{163} George Wimpey & Co Limited. \textit{No-Fines Concrete: a Record of Permanent Structures built in the New Tradition} (Wimpeys, London, no date [c 1954]).
By 1946 the Experimental Building Station, influenced by examples in England and Scotland, had built their first walls of no fines concrete and had begun the construction of a complete house, and it was thought that it might result in considerable savings in cases where a number of houses were built together. Following overseas trips in 1947-8 D V Isaacs and J W Drysdale, of the Station, reported on the Wimpey's No-Fines system in use in England, which involved special equipment and reusable formwork for the construction of two-storey houses. They concluded that the system would need modification for use in Australia to build single storey houses of non-standard type, that groups of less than ten houses were unlikely to be viable, but that subject to these qualifications it should be competitive with brick construction.

No fines concrete was in fact tried out in Australia for the walls of houses and small buildings, and was used to some extent by architects, but was never really taken up by the building industry as a whole. One of the most significant examples was St Joseph's Church, Picton, Western Australia, where in 1955 'concrete without coarse aggregate' was delivered mixed, and placed in trenches in which screenings were already laid. The slurry was poured on using a swinging boom, and contained a penetrating and bonding agent. The aim was to minimise deliveries and make pouring easier. The fashion for no fines concrete did not persist in the regular building industry, but in subsequent years it has sometimes been used in engineering works as a permeable base which will allow water to drain out through it.

Aerated concrete seems to have found little application in Australia. Commercial production began in Sweden in 1929, based upon the work of Axel Eriksson, though the basic principles had been established at the beginning of the century. It contained no aggregate other than a filler, ground as fine as the cement powder itself, so that it was really a mortar rather than a concrete. Air or other gas was introduced in such a way that it set as a uniform cellular material, but it only achieved its full potential when it was steam cured - meaning, of necessity, in precast units rather than in situ applications. By 1953, however, Foam Concrete Ltd of Adelaide were marketing an air-entrained concrete floor slab, called 'Floorlite' for its good insulation properties. The contractors Weiss & Jolly doubled the size of their plant so as to be able to deliver four houses per week of air-entrained concrete. By 1954 Roof & Building Service Pty Ltd of Sydney and Brisbane were marketing ‘Celloncrete’, a mixture of Portland cement with a foaming agent. It was used both in situ and in the form of blocks.

---
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**k. pipes**

Concrete pipes had a considerable prehistory in Europe, where Joseph Monier's patent of 1867 was for an ovoid sewer pipe reinforced with square mesh. In Australia pipes were to be made by the companies which pioneered reinforced concrete, especially the Monier patentees, though their connection with the 1867 patent was remote. In New South Wales, Carter, Gummow & Co went on to become the State Monier and Concrete Pipe Works. Monash's Victorian agency became the Reinforced Concrete and Monier Pipe Construction Company, though it does not appear that concrete pipes were a major part of their business. By the 1920s the Federal Capital Commission had its own concrete pipe works at Eastlake, ACT.¹⁷⁰